

bpfilter, pare-feu Linux à la sauce eBPF

Quentin Monnet

<quentin.monnet@netronome.com> @qeole

NETRONUME

A word about Netronome

NETRONCME



We make SmartNICs for data centers.

Features include vRouter, firewall, transparent HW offload for OvS... or eBPF!





bpfilter, a new back-end for iptables in Linux, based on eBPF

- RFC posted to Linux network development (netdev) mailing list, mid-February 2018
- Code by David Miller (networking subsystem maintainer), Alexei Starovoitov and Daniel Borkmann (BPF tree maintainers)
- > Not merged yet, everything that appears here is susceptible to change!

bpfilter not to be confused with ...

xt_bpf module (attach BPF program to Netfilter hook; rather an extension of xtables, and relies on classic BPF)

```
iptables -A INPUT \
    -p udp --dport 53 \
    -m bpf --bytecode "14,0 0 0 20,177 0 0 0,12 0 0 0,7 0 0 0, \
        64 0 0 0,21 0 7 124090465,64 0 0 4,21 0 5 1836084325, \
        64 0 0 8,21 0 3 56848237,80 0 0 12,21 0 1 0,6 0 0 1, \
        6 0 0 0," \
        -j DROP
```

(Matches a DNS query for "example.com", credit goes to Cloudflare)

- nftables, designed as iptables/xtables successor
- BPF in nftables (posted to netdev in reaction to bpfilter)
- ▶ NFP firewall on NetBSD with classic BPF (≠ eBPF) and JIT-compiling

- The iptables binary is left untouched
- > Rules are translated into an eBPF program, attached to e.g. XDP
- > bpfilter.ko: new kind of kernel module, here for rule translation
 - ELF file running in user space!
 - Based on user mode helpers (UMH)
 - But shipped and built from kernel tree
 - Should be compatible with modprobe, modinfo, etc.
 - Run in a special thread, full privileges and in root namespace
- > Several objectives for this new kind of module
 - Easier to develop, to debug, to test
 - Reduce attack surface, cannot crash the kernel
 - Clear decoupling between data plane (kernel) and control planes (user space)
- bpfilter.ko module communicates with the kernel via bpf() syscall



- JIT compilation on x86_64, arm64, ppc64, sparc64, mips64, s390x, arm32
- Straightforward hardware offload on compatible NICs
- BPF verifier: security and safety
- User space ELF modules
- Existing BPF tooling; possibly writing rules in C?
- eBPF more and more used in the kernel, possibilities for integration with other subsystems?

```
# iptables -t filter -A INPUT -i eth1 -d 10.0.0.4/32 -j DROP
# iptables -L
Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT)
target prot opt source destination
DROP all -- anywhere 10.0.0.4
Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)
target prot opt source destination
Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT)
target prot opt source destination
```

```
# bpftool prog dump xlated id 1337
0: (bf) r9 = r1
                              13: (2d) if r1 > r3 goto pc+7
1: (79) r2 = (u64)(r9 + 0) 14: (07) r1 += -20
2: (79) r<sub>3</sub> = (u64)(r9 + 8) 15: (61) r<sub>4</sub> = (u32)(r1 + 12)
3: (bf) r1 = r2
                              16: (55) if r4 != 0x200000a goto pc+1
4: (07) r1 += 14
                             17: (04) (u32) r5 += (u32) 1
5: (bd) if r1 <= r3 goto pc+2 18: (61) r4 = (u32)(r1 +16)
6: (b4) (u32) ro = (u32) 2 19: (55) if r4 != 0x400000a goto pc+1
7: (95) exit
                                20: (04) (u32) r5 += (u32) 1
8: (bf) r_1 = r_2
                                 21: (55) if r5 != 0x2 goto pc+2
9: (b4) (u32) r_5 = (u32) 0 22: (b4) (u32) r_0 = (u32) 1
10: (69) r_4 = (u_{16})(r_{1} + 12) 23: (95) exit
11: (55) if r4 != 0x8 goto pc+9 24: (b4) (u32) r0 = (u32) 2
12: (07) r1 += 34
                              25: (95) exit
```

E.g. instruction #19: check on ox400000a, which is "ntohl(10.0.0.4)"

Comparison for simple packet drop between iptables, nftables, bpfilter Setup:

- > One single iptables or nftables rule (as in previous example)
- 64 byte long packets

Hardware:

- Intel[®] Xeon[®] CPU E5-2630 v3 @ 2.40 GHz Single CPU, 8 cores 16 threads
- Netronome Agilio CX, 1 × 40 Gbps Ethernet

Many thanks to my colleague David Beckett for running the tests!



68 replies on the thread, many comments from Netfilter people

- Performance
 - · Many speed improvements from nftables over iptables
 - JIT-compiling, XDP hook, hardware offload: way faster, whereas Netfilter in general was not good enough and failed to get a wide adoption
- Replication of iptables back-end
 - Users' assumptions regarding the behaviour of iptables, 100% perfect replication is impossible
 - Will make efforts to have the same, on as many use cases as possible
- Why iptables in the first place?
 - Maintainers trying to phase out the legacy interface, why not base bpfilter on nftables instead?
 - iptables widely spread and will remain for at least a decade, better improve performance and ease maintenance

Security

- Security concerns, mostly about the new ELF module mechanism
- Safety and security through BPF verifier; ELF module no less secure than kernel modules.
- What about eBPF?
 - Not so much deployed as of today
 - Deployed in most major providers, used more and more in the kernel, for various taks
- ... but, really, eBPF?
 - "BPF has many usability problems"
 - Simply not true

- > PoC must be refined to get a more complete, optimised version
- > The proposal needs to be accepted by the community
- bpfilter very likely to be accepted: backed by influent developers
- Early March: follow-up for nftables, with a common intermediate representation with iptables
- ▶ Early March, too: repost of the patch for the new ELF kernel modules
- Next:
 - bpfilter merge to the kernel?
 - nftables support?
 - User space tooling update?
 - More hardware offload?

Questions?

Additional resources:

RFC on netdev mailing list "net: add bpfilter", sent by Daniel Borkmann https://www.mail-archive.com/netdev@vger.kernel.org/msg217095.html and following emails of this thread LWN.net: BPF comes to the firewalls https://lwn.net/Articles/747551/ LWN.net: Designing ELF modules https://lwn.net/Articles/749108/ Resources on BPF — Dive into BPF: a list of reading material https://qmonnet.github.io/whirl-offload/2016/09/01/dive-into-bpf/ Netronome website https://www.netronome.com/ We're hiring!